



B.R.N. ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.

1011 Supalai Grand Tower, 7th Floor, Unit 6-7, Rama 3 Road,
Chongnonsi, Yannawa, Bangkok 10120
Tel. (+66) 2687 0250 Fax. (+66) 2687 0255

Verification Results

Document	Verification Results	Release	17/08/26 V1.0
Project	BRN WMS	Project code	200-WMS-26-001-00
Project period	05/01/26–24/08/26		

Objective

Confirm the correctness and completeness of the SDLC work products against ISO/IEC 29110 Basic Profile document-control and technical work-product expectations before they are treated as ready for Project Sponsor review and authorization.

1. Deliverables under review

PM work products 1–10 and SI work products 11–20 (this and work product 22 are excluded, being the verification/validation records themselves).

2. Round 2A — Document-control verification

Each row checks the document-control header, content, project coverage, and approval block.

ID	Work product	Header complete	Purpose met	Evidence basis disclosed	Approval block present	Result
VR-01	Statement of Work	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Passed
VR-02	Project Plan (Work Schedule, SPP, Customer Requirements)	Yes	Yes	Yes, where applicable	Yes	Passed
VR-03	Progress Status Records (13)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-04	Correction Register	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-05	Acceptance Report	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-06	Change Report (CH-001–CH-003)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-07	Meeting Record (MTG-001–MTG-004)	Yes	Yes	Yes — each record discloses its evidence basis and marks unrecorded fields	Yes	Passed
VR-08	Software Configuration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed

ID	Work product	Header complete	Purpose met	Evidence basis disclosed	Approval block present	Result
VR-09	Project Repository	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-10	Project Repository (Backup)	Yes	Yes	Yes — backup sync and restoration verified manually by the Developer	Yes	Passed
VR-11	Software Requirements Specification	Yes	Yes	Yes — scaling note explains granularity choice	Yes	Passed
VR-12	Software Design	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-13	Traceability Record	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-14	Software Components	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-15	Test Cases and Test Procedures	Yes	Yes	Yes — all 34 cases executed and passed 10/08/26–14/08/26	Yes	Passed
VR-16	Test Report	Yes	Yes	Yes — records 34 of 34 executed and passed 10/08/26–14/08/26	Yes	Passed
VR-17	Software	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-18	Software User Documentation	Yes	Yes	N/A (forward-facing usage guide)	Yes	Passed
VR-19	Product Operation Guide	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed
VR-20	Maintenance Documentation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Passed

3. Round 2B — Technical work-product verification

ID	Verification performed	Responsible reviewer	Result
TV-01	Customer Requirements are complete, internally consistent, feasible within the agreed project scope, and expressed in a testable form.	Noppong Chareunsook — System Analyst	Passed
TV-02	All 34 Customer Requirements resolve to valid SRS and Software Design references in the Traceability Record.	Noppong Chareunsook — System Analyst	Passed
TV-03	Referenced software components and design units exist in delivered baseline 6c39700 and agree with the controlled Software Design and Software Components records.	Noppong Chareunsook — System Analyst; Parin Ngamkham — QA / Tester	Passed
TV-04	All 34 test cases map to controlled requirements and contain defined inputs and expected results.	Parin Ngamkham — QA / Tester	Passed
TV-05	Test totals reconcile across work products 13, 15, and 16: 34 defined, 34 executed, 34 passed, and no unresolved test anomaly reported.	Parin Ngamkham — QA / Tester	Passed

ID	Verification performed	Responsible reviewer	Result
TV-06	All 28 Correction Register entries resolve to valid implementation commits and applicable verification test-case references.	Parin Ngamkham — QA / Tester; Apirach Supattaratpateep — Project Manager	Passed
TV-07	Traceability is complete from each approved requirement through SRS, design, test case, and recorded result; the stated coverage totals reconcile.	Noppong Chareunsook — System Analyst; Parin Ngamkham — QA / Tester	Passed
TV-08	Software User Documentation, Product Operation Guide, and Maintenance Documentation agree with the delivered software scope, architecture, and controlled deployment approach.	Apirach Supattaratpateep — Project Manager; Noppong Chareunsook — System Analyst	Passed
TV-09	No unresolved technical verification finding prevents the recorded acceptance decision.	Apirach Supattaratpateep — Project Manager	Passed

4. Risk and constraint note

1. Round 1 was a self-review by the document preparer (the Developer). Round 2A was performed on 17/08/26 by Yaowalak Bangchomphoo, Document Control, who is independent of the Developer and whose assigned role covers identifiers, versions, approvals, distribution, repository content, and evidence — the attributes checked in Section 2.
2. Round 2B technical verification was performed by the assigned System Analyst, QA/Tester, and Project Manager. Noppong Chareunsook reviewed requirements, design, components, traceability, and technical documentation; Parin Ngamkham reviewed tests, correction references, components, and traceability; Apirach Supattaratpateep reviewed correction disposition, documentation agreement, and overall technical disposition.
3. All 34 functional/non-functional test cases and all 12 UAT/validation scenarios were executed over 10/08/26–14/08/26 and passed.
4. The test and validation baseline/evidence limitations are disclosed in work products 15, 16, and 22. Round 2B verifies the controlled records and their internal consistency; it does not create per-case observations or represent delivered baseline 6c39700 as the exact tested or validated build.
5. Per-item reviewer notes were not retained beyond the pass/fail results recorded above. Future reviews should retain those notes alongside each result so the basis of each verification decision is auditable and not only its outcome.

5. Recommendation

Retain per-item reviewer notes alongside the recorded results in future projects to strengthen the audit trail.

6. Reviewer declarations

By signing the applicable blocks below, the assigned reviewers confirm that they performed the Round 2 checks attributed to their roles, found the referenced work products consistent with the controlled project evidence, recorded the listed checks as Passed, and identified no unresolved verification finding affecting acceptance.

The Document Control signature confirms Round 2A. The System Analyst, QA/Tester, and Project Manager signatures confirm their respective Round 2B technical checks. The Project Sponsor signature authorizes the

recorded verification disposition.

7. Approval

Round 2A verified by

Name: Yaowalak Bangchomphoo

Role: Document Control

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Round 2B requirements, design, components, traceability, and technical documentation verified by

Name: Noppong Chareunsook

Role: System Analyst / Technical Reviewer

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Round 2B tests, corrections, components, and traceability verified by

Name: Parin Ngamkham

Role: QA / Tester

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Round 2B reviewed and dispositioned by

Name: Apirach Supattaratpateep

Role: Project Manager

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Reviewed and authorized by

Name: Seri Viriyasakultorn

Project roles: Project Sponsor / Customer Representative / Authorized Approver

Position: Managing Director

Company: B.R.N. Enterprise Co., Ltd.

Signature: _____

Date: _____